

(Model form for expert assessment of the application for funding for of academic visits in the fields of natural, technology, medicine and health and agricultural sciences)

EXPERT ASSESSMENT OF THE APPLICATION FOR FUNDING OF ACADEMIC VISITS IN THE FIELDS OF NATURAL, TECHNOLOGY, MEDICINE AND HEALTH AND AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES

Application registration number
 PhD student forename, surname

I. Assessment by criteria:

Assessment criterion ¹	Maximum possible score by criteria ²	Assessment explanation (mandatory)																					
1. Number and level of scientific publications, research publications, other scientific works and/or works of art on the topic of the dissertation or art project published and/or accepted for publication during doctoral studies																							
<p>Assessment of publications in journals with the Impact Factor in the Clarivate Analytics Web of Science database and patents: a) those that were published or accepted for publication (issued patents) during doctoral studies; b) those that are relevant to the topic of the dissertation being prepared; c) those for which funding has not yet been granted.</p> <p>A scientific publication is rated according to the quartile in which it falls in the list of publications in its category, in points:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="240 1355 1043 1565"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Journal quartiles*</i></th> <th><i>PhD student is the first author</i></th> <th><i>PhD student is not the first author</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q1</td> <td>10</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q2</td> <td>8</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q3</td> <td>6</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q4</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>* if a journal falls into more than one subject category and is in different quartiles, the publication will be assessed according to the highest one.</p> <p>A patent is rated in points according to the issuing institution:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="240 1693 1043 2029"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Patent</i></th> <th><i>PhD student is the first author</i></th> <th><i>PhD student is not the first author</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Issued by the European Patent Office (EPO), the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), the Japan Patent Office (JPO), the China National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA), the</td> <td>20</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>Journal quartiles*</i>	<i>PhD student is the first author</i>	<i>PhD student is not the first author</i>	Q1	10	5	Q2	8	4	Q3	6	3	Q4	4	2	<i>Patent</i>	<i>PhD student is the first author</i>	<i>PhD student is not the first author</i>	Issued by the European Patent Office (EPO), the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), the Japan Patent Office (JPO), the China National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA), the	20	10	(30)	
<i>Journal quartiles*</i>	<i>PhD student is the first author</i>	<i>PhD student is not the first author</i>																					
Q1	10	5																					
Q2	8	4																					
Q3	6	3																					
Q4	4	2																					
<i>Patent</i>	<i>PhD student is the first author</i>	<i>PhD student is not the first author</i>																					
Issued by the European Patent Office (EPO), the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), the Japan Patent Office (JPO), the China National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA), the	20	10																					

Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO)																
Issued in other patent offices, including the State Patent Bureau of the Republic of Lithuania (SPB)	10	5														
After assessing all publications and patents, a total score is given up to a maximum of 30 points.																
2. Quality and significance of the research and/or artistic activity during doctoral studies on the topic of the dissertation or art project being prepared																
2.1. Presentations at scientific conferences: Assessment of the level of activity and relevance of participation in scientific conferences: a) those held during doctoral studies; b) those at which presentations on the topic of the dissertation being prepared were delivered by the PhD student; c) those for which the official information about the presentation delivered by the PhD student is posted on the website or a documentary evidence is available; d) those for which funding has not yet been granted. A presentation (oral or poster) is rated in points:			(7)													
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Conference type³</i></th> <th><i>Oral presentation</i></th> <th><i>Poster presentation</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>International science event</td> <td>5</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Regional science event</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>National science event</td> <td>1</td> <td>0,5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<i>Conference type³</i>	<i>Oral presentation</i>	<i>Poster presentation</i>	International science event	5	3	Regional science event	2	1	National science event	1	0,5		
<i>Conference type³</i>	<i>Oral presentation</i>	<i>Poster presentation</i>														
International science event	5	3														
Regional science event	2	1														
National science event	1	0,5														
After assessing all presentations, a total score is given up to a maximum of 7 points.																
2.3. Promotion of science: Assessment of activities promoting science: a) those carried out during doctoral studies; b) those for which funding has not yet been granted. Pedagogical activities are excluded. Significance of science promotion activities is rated giving a maximum of 3 points.			(3)													
Total rating for criterion 2:			(10)													
3. Scientific (artistic) level of the international science (art) event or internship at the host institution and the form of participation of the PhD student																
Assessment of the application for a visit to a science event: Assessment of a science event abroad: a) the event to be held during the period of doctoral studies; b) the event on a topic relevant to the dissertation being prepared; c) the event at which the PhD student will make his/her own oral or poster presentation himself/herself.			(10)													
Rating the level of the conference, in points: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Conference type³</i></th> <th><i>Points</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>International scientific event</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Regional scientific event</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<i>Conference type³</i>	<i>Points</i>	International scientific event	5	Regional scientific event	2								
<i>Conference type³</i>	<i>Points</i>															
International scientific event	5															
Regional scientific event	2															
Rating the presentation, in points: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Presentation type</i></th> <th><i>Points</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Oral (a proof of inclusion of the presentation in the conference programme is available)</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<i>Presentation type</i>	<i>Points</i>	Oral (a proof of inclusion of the presentation in the conference programme is available)	5										
<i>Presentation type</i>	<i>Points</i>															
Oral (a proof of inclusion of the presentation in the conference programme is available)	5															

Poster (a proof of inclusion of the presentation in the conference programme is available)	3			
A proof of inclusion of the presentation in the conference programme is not available, or the presentation type is not known	3			
A total score is calculated for both aspects.				
Assessment of the application for an internship:				
Assessment of an internship planned at a foreign research institution: a) that will take place (begin and end) during the period of doctoral studies; b) that is related to the topic of the dissertation being prepared and that will involve learning new methods, adopting new technologies, etc.; c) for which the PhD student has a confirmation from the host institution that conditions for internship will be provided for the specified period; d) for which the PhD student has the commitment of a future internship supervisor (from the host institution) to supervise.				
The assessment takes into account: the scientific competence of the supervisor; the level of particular research infrastructure to which access will be granted; the general research infrastructure of the host institution.				
If this criterion is given less than 4 points, no funding will be granted.				
Total of score for all criteria:			(50)	

¹ If the total sum of points given for several applications is the same, the application with the higher score for criterion 3 shall be ranked higher in the priority ranking. If the priority ranking of applications under this criterion is not possible, the higher ranking shall be determined on the basis of the score under criterion 1, followed by scores under criteria 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. If it is not possible to determine the priority ranking this way either, the priority ranking of the application shall be determined collegially by the expert panel after extra examination of such applications.

² Values shall be indicated in whole numbers.

³ Conference types shall be understood as follows: **National science event** – a national conference, seminar, symposium or other event dedicated to a specific topic, at which scientists and other researchers present their ideas and latest research results; **Regional science event** – a conference, seminar, symposium or other event of a cross-border scope dedicated to a specific topic, where scientists and other researchers present their ideas and the latest research results; more than half of the participants come from countries other than those hosting the event; **International science event** – a conference, symposium, or other event dedicated to a specific topic, organised at global level by international associations or other institutions, at which scientists and other researchers present their ideas and the latest research results; more than half of the participants come from countries other than those hosting the event.

II. Conclusion on the costs estimate for the academic visit (whether the funds are intended to be used in an efficient and sound manner):

- COSTS ESTIMATE IS JUSTIFIED
- COSTS ESTIMATE TO BE REVISED

.....
(To specify the costs that are proposed to be changed, how much and which costs should be excluded)

III. Other comments

IV. Final conclusion:

- APPLICATION IS ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING
- APPLICATION IS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING

I, the undersigned expert, certify that I will not derive any direct or indirect material or immaterial benefit in the event of the success or failure of this application.

Date

Expert
(For an individual assessment)

.....
(Signature)

.....
(Forename, surname)

Expert panel head
(For an overall assessment)
