

Annex 3 to Guidelines No. for Applicants Under Measure 01.2.2.-LMT-K-718 Targeted Research in SMART Specialization Areas of Priority Axis 1 Promotion of Research, Development and Innovations of the Operational Programme for the European Union Funds' investments in 2014–2020

(Form of the Table of the Assessment of the Benefit and Quality of the Project)

TABLE OF THE ASSESSMENT OF THE QUALITY AND BENEFIT OF THE PROJECT

Application code	
Name of the Applicant	
Project title	
The project will be implemented: <input type="checkbox"/> with a partner (s) <input type="checkbox"/> without a partner (s)	
<input type="checkbox"/> PRIMARY <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED <i>(Please mark 'Revised' if the Table is revised when the application is re-assessed.)</i>	

Title of the priority project selection criterion (hereinafter criterion)	Aspects and explanations of the evaluation of the criterion	Maximum score	Score assigned in relation to the evaluation	Minimum required score	Commentaries
1. Novelty of research project idea and perspectives of using the results of the research project	<p>According to this criterion, the novelty of the knowledge and/or technologies planned to be created during the project implementation and the relevance of the problems of scientific and/or experimental (social, cultural) development and the scientific infrastructure available to the applicant to achieve the project objectives are assessed.</p> <p>Evaluated by points:</p>	<p><i>(Possible number of characters - 2 digits before the decimal point.</i></p> <p>40</p>	<p><i>(Possible number of characters - 2 digits before the decimal point.</i></p>	<p><i>(Possible number of characters - 2 digits before the decimal point.</i></p> <p>20</p>	

	<p>1.1. Novelty of knowledge and/or technologies planned to be created during the project implementation. 0 - 15 points.</p> <p>1.2. Relevance and importance of the problems to be solved during the project implementation. 0 - 20 points.</p> <p>1.3. Sufficiency of the infrastructure available to the applicant to solve the problems raised in the project. 0 – 5 points.</p>				
<p>2. International scientific competence of the Head of Research</p>	<p>This criterion assesses the scientific achievements of the Head of Research in the last 10 years before the application deadline in the international context, the experience in conducting and managing the research and the capacity to implement the proposed project. The scientific competence of the Head of Research is assessed according to the curriculum vitae and the list of submitted scientific publications and other scientific products.</p> <p>The assessment is based on the citation of scientific articles submitted by the research supervisor, the category of articles, the international level and category of publications in which scientific articles are published, patents registered with the European Patent Office, the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the Japanese Patent Office or the State Patent Office of the</p>	<p>20</p>		<p>10</p>	

	<p>Republic of Lithuania, plant or animal varieties or cell lines or strains of microorganisms registered in Lithuania or abroad.</p> <p>Evaluated by points:</p> <p>2.1. Research achievements of the Head of Research in an international context. 0 – 15 points.</p> <p>2. The experience of the Head of Research to carry out and lead research and the ability to implement the submitted project. 0 – 5 points.</p>				
<p>3. Importance of the planned results of the research project and possibilities of implementation</p>	<p>According to this criterion, the importance of the planned results of the research project and the possibilities of their implementation will be assessed, as well as how and to what extent the planned research results contribute to the feasibility of the goals and objectives of the Smart Specialization Program priority; the applicant's/partner's experience in commercializing R&D results will also be assessed.</p> <p>Evaluated by points:</p> <p>3.1. The importance and applicability of the knowledge and/or technology results (solutions) planned to be created during the project implementation period at the international and/or national level while taking into account the priorities of Smart Specialization. 0 – 30 points.</p>	<p>35</p>		<p>20</p>	

	3.2. Applicant's and/or partner's experience in commercializing R&D results. 0 – 5 points.				
4. Scope of the Applicant's involvement in the projects of international research programs, and applied research orders by economic entities fulfilled by the Applicant.	<p>The criterion is used to assess the scope of the Applicant's engagement in projects of international research programs, and the R&D orders by economic entities implemented by the Applicant.</p> <p>The assessment shall be carried out in accordance with the latest data provided by the Research Council of Lithuania to the Ministry of Education and Science obtained during the evaluation of scientific (artistic) works of scientific and study institutions and scientific activities of university hospitals in accordance with the Regulation 'On the annual assessment of research and experimental development and the arts in universities and research institutes' approved by the Minister of Education and Science of the Republic of Lithuania on 4 October 2017 by Order No. V-747 (hereinafter -the Regulation on Assessment), and Guidelines for the evaluation of research and experimental development and artistic activities of Lithuanian research and higher education institutions approved by the Chairman of the Research Council of Lithuania on 15 June 2018 by Order No. V-307 'On the approval of the guidelines for research and experimental development and evaluation of artistic activities of Lithuanian</p>	5		(1 decimal place is possible) 0	

	<p>research and study institutions' (hereinafter – the Guidelines for Assessment).</p> <p>The value of the individual Applicant's funds received from international research program projects and from R&D orders received from economic operators is determined from the submitted data of evaluation of scientific (artistic) works of institutions of science and studies during the assessment in accordance to the latest data provided by the Research Council of Lithuania.</p> <p>The scientific activities of university hospitals are evaluated in accordance with the same principles as in the evaluation of research and study institutions, in accordance with the Evaluation Regulation and the Evaluation Guidelines.</p> <p>The score given is calculated according to the formula: $A = X * 0,25$, where: A – Scope of the applicant's participation in projects of international research programs and R&D orders of economic entities executed by the applicant (rounded mathematically to tenths); X – the score given to the applicant for projects of international research programs and R&D orders from economic entities</p>				
Sum:	100	<i>The sum of the numbers entered in the column, which may not exceed 100.</i>	50		

The minimum required number of points:	50			
---	-----------	--	--	--

(job title of the person responsible
for the evaluation of the Application)

(date)

(name, surname, signature¹)

¹ Signed if a paper version of the table is being completed