

Annex 2 to Guidelines No. 1 for Applicants Under Measure 01.2.2.-LMT-K-718 Targeted Research in SMART Specialization Areas of Priority Axis 1 Promotion of Research, Development and Innovations of the Operational Programme for the European Union Funds' investments in 2014–2020

(Form of the Table of the Assessment of the Project Quality)

TABLE OF THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT QUALITY

Application code	
Name of the Applicant	
Project title	
The project will be implemented: <input type="checkbox"/> with a partner (s) <input type="checkbox"/> without a partner (s)	
<input type="checkbox"/> PRIMARY <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED <i>(Please mark 'Revised' if the Table is revised when the application is re-assessed.)</i>	

Title of the priority project selection criterion (hereinafter criterion)	Aspects and explanations of the evaluation of the criterion	Maximum score	Criterion score (in case weights are applied)		Score assigned in relation to the evaluation	Minimum score	Commentaries
			Criterion score	Weight coefficient			
1. Significance and the use prospect of projected the research results	<p>The criterion will be used to assess the significance and the possible use of the projected results of the research project, also in order to determine how and to what extent the projected research results contribute to the feasibility of the objectives and the tasks of the operational plan of a specific priority axis.</p> <p>1. The project is assigned 3 points, if the projected result is::</p>	35		5		15	

	<p>1) <i>a patent issued by the European Patent Office (EPO), United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), or the Japan Patent Office and owned by Lithuanian natural persons or legal entities;</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>2) <i>A plant variety assessed at European specialized centres (Reports on Technical Examination of the DUS Testing required to be submitted);</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>1) <i>An animal breed registered in the national or international registers;</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>2) <i>New technology with the implementation statement, or tested in production;</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>3) <i>A variety or a microorganism strain registered in the international registers and having a registration certificate;</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>4) <i>An open new digital resource obtained in the course of research, a data base or the open source software suitable to be used for R&D;</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>5) <i>patent in Lithuania, also a patent application holding a registration certificate;</i></p> <p>The project is assigned 0 points, in case the project result does not meet any of the results referred to in Items 1-7.</p> <p>2. The possibilities and the prospects of use of the</p>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

	<p>results intended to be achieved by implementing the project.</p> <p>The project is assigned 0 points if the opportunities and prospects for the expected results are not described in the Application or duly justified or the expected results do not have a realistic possibility and perspective to be applied.</p> <p>The project is assigned 1 point if the expected results have a real possibility and perspective to be applied, but their Application does not affect the further development of science / business.</p> <p>A project is assigned 2 points if the expected results have a realistic chance and potential to be applied, their Application has a significant impact on the further development of the field of science / business and can create an international breakthrough.</p> <p>3. Contribution to the projects foreseen during the ongoing project to the achievement of the objectives and targets of the action plan for a specific priority axis of the Smart Specialization Program.</p> <p>A project is assigned 0 points if the Application assesses and justifies the feasibility of contributing to the objectives and targets of the specific priority axis of the Smart Specialization Program, but this contribution is not significant and does not create a breakthrough in the specific axis of the Smart Specialization Program. A</p>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

	<p>project is assigned 2 points if the Application assesses and justifies the feasibility of contributing to the objectives and targets of the action plan of the specific priority axis of the Smart Specialization Program, which is particularly significant and creates a clear breakthrough in the specific direction of the Smart Specialization Program.</p> <p>On 24 July 2019 the Government of the Republic of Lithuania by Resolution No. 760 ‘On the amendment of Resolution No. 411 ‘On the Approval of the Programme for the Implementation of the Priority Areas of Research and (Socio-Cultural) Development and Innovation (Smart Specialisation)’ of 30 April 2014 of the Government of the Republic of Lithuania’ amended Resolution No. 411 of the Government of the Republic of Lithuania of 30 April 2014 ‘On the Approval of the Programme for the Implementation of the Priority Areas of Research and (Socio-Cultural) Development and Innovation (Smart Specialisation)’ and redrafted it. Therefore, for the assessment of this criterion, the provisions of the recast shall apply, with the corresponding specialization directions being treated as priorities of the Smart Specialization.</p>						
<p>2. Novelty and feasibility of the research project idea</p>	<p>The criterion is used to assess the novelty and feasibility of the research project idea.</p> <p>Aspects of assessment:</p> <p>1. Novelty of the knowledge and/or technologies, and the relevance of research and/or (social,</p>	<p>30</p>		<p>5</p>		<p>20</p>	

	<p>cultural) development problems intended to be developed and/or addressed within the project.</p> <p>The project is assigned 0 points if the novelty of the knowledge and / or technologies to be created and the relevance of the R&D problems to be solved are not specified in the application or if the knowledge or technologies to be created are not relevant and innovative; The project is assigned 1 point if the planned knowledge and / or technologies are innovative but the R&D problems solved are not relevant; The project is assigned 2 points if the planned knowledge and / or technologies are innovative and the R&D problems solved are relevant in Lithuania; The project is assigned 3 points if the planned knowledge and / or technologies are particularly innovative and the R&D problems solved are internationally relevant.</p> <p>2. Ambitiousness of the project objectives, and suitability for achieving the survey objectives, and the use of unconventional study methods.</p> <p>A project is assigned 0 points if the project's ambition, relevance of the research objectives and research methods are not specified in the Application or the project objectives are not ambitious and / or appropriate for the achievement of the research objectives. A project is assigned 1 point if the project objectives are ambitious and appropriate to the</p>						
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

	<p>research objectives, but are limited to conventional research methods.</p> <p>A project is assigned 2-3 points if the project objectives are ambitious and appropriate for the research objectives and the research methods chosen are innovative.</p>						
<p>3. International scientific competence of the Head of Research</p>	<p>The criterion will be used to assess the scientific achievements of the Head of Research in the international context, the experience in implementing and managing research, and the capacity to implement the project being submitted. The scientific competence of the Head of Research is evaluated on the basis of the documents submitted, such as the curriculum vitae of the research leader and the list of his publications.</p> <p>To be assessed:</p> <p>1. Scientific achievements of the Head of Research in the international context.</p> <p>The project is assigned 0-2 points, depending on the scientific achievements of the Head of Research in the last 10 years before the deadline for submission of applications - i.e. the citation of published scientific articles, the category of articles, the international level and category of the publications in which the articles are published, the patents registered with the European Patent Office, the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the Japanese Patent Office or the State Patent Bureau of plant or animal varieties or cell lines or strains of microorganisms registered abroad.</p>	20		5		10	

	<p>2. The experience of the research leader in implementing and managing research, and the capacity to implement the project being submitted.</p> <p>A project is assigned 0 points if the Head of Research has not led any successful research project.</p> <p>The project is assigned 1-2 points, depending on the Head of Research's experience in managing research and / or development projects or projects commissioned by economic operators within last 10 years before the deadline for submission of applications, the scope of these projects, the international nature of these programs under which the projects were carried out, significance, the contribution of the Head of Research to the project.</p>						
<p>4. Amount of the own contribution of the Applicant and/or the partner</p>	<p>A higher score is assigned to the projects whose Applicant and/or the partner undertakes to use own finance the eligible part of the project costs. Assessment of the ratio of the own contribution of eligible costs by the Applicant and or the partner to the total eligible costs of the project.</p> <p>1. From 18,01% and more – 10 points; 2. From 15,01% to 18% – 8 points; 3. From 10,01% to 15%. – 6 points; 2. From 7,01% to 10%. – 4 points; 3. From 5,01% to 7% – 2 points; 4. Less than 5% – 0 points.</p>	<p>10</p>			<p>0</p>		
<p>5. Scope of the Applicant's involvement in the projects of</p>	<p>The criterion is used to assess the scope of the Applicant's engagement in projects of international research programs, and the R&D</p>	<p>5</p>		<p>0,25</p>	<p>0</p>		

<p>international research programs, and applied research orders by economic entities fulfilled by the Applicant.</p>	<p>orders by economic entities implemented by the Applicant.</p> <p>The assessment shall be carried out in accordance with the latest data provided by the Research Council of Lithuania to the Ministry of Education and Science obtained during the evaluation of scientific (artistic) works of scientific and study institutions and scientific activities of university hospitals in accordance with the Regulation ‘On the annual assessment of research and experimental development and the arts in universities and research institutes’ approved by the Minister of Education and Science of the Republic of Lithuania on 4 October 2017 by Order No. V-747 (hereinafter -the Regulation on Assessment), and guidelines for the evaluation of research and experimental development and artistic activities of Lithuanian research and higher education institutions (hereinafter – the Guidelines for Assessment).</p> <p>The value of the individual Applicant's funds received from international research program projects and from R&D orders received from economic operators is determined from the submitted data of evaluation of scientific (artistic) works of institutions of science and studies during the assessment in accordance to the latest data provided by the Research Council of Lithuania.</p> <p>The score is calculated using the formula: $A = X * 0.25$ where:</p> <p>A - a scope of the Applicant's participation in international science programs’ projects and R&D orders from economic entities carried out</p>						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

	by the Applicant (rounded mathematically to decimal places); X – score assigned to the Applicant according to the data in the table ‘Score assigned to research and studies institutions in research area for projects of international research programs, and R&D o orders from economic entities’.*						
Total:		100				45	
Minimum required score:		45					

(Name and surname of the expert)

(Date)

(Name, surname, signature¹)

¹ If a paper version of the Table is completed, please sign.