

Annex 2 to the Description of Project Financing Conditions No. 6 under Measure 09.3.3-LMT-K-712 “Development of Scientific Competences of Scientists, other Researchers and Students through Practical Research Activities” of priority axis 9 “Educating the Society and Strengthening the Potential of Human Resources” of the Operational Programme for the European Union Funds’ Investment in 2014–2020

(Form of the Table for the Evaluation of the Project Benefit and Quality)

TABLE FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE PROJECT BENEFIT AND QUALITY

Application code	
Name of the Applicant	
Project title	
The project will be implemented: <input type="checkbox"/> with a partner (s) <input type="checkbox"/> without a partner (s)	
<input type="checkbox"/> PRIMARY <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED <i>(Please mark ‘Revised’ if the Table is revised after the application has been returned for re-evaluation)</i>	

Title of the priority project selection criterion (hereinafter – criterion)	Aspects and explanations of the evaluation of the criterion	Maximum criterion score	Score assigned during the evaluation	Minimum score¹	Comments
1. Novelty and implementability of the research project result	The criterion shall be used to assess the potential contribution of the research project to the development of science and the relation to the research carried out by the Applicant’s institution, i.e. how the project would contribute to the solution of relevant scientific challenges and the research carried out by the host institution, the relevance of the project’s objectives and whether or not the accesses,	<i>(Max. 2 characters before a comma)</i> 20	<i>(Max. 2 characters before a comma)</i>	<i>(Max. 2 characters before a comma)</i> 10	

¹ If no weights are applied, Sections 4 and 5 shall be deleted (not printed).

² In case no minimum score is specified according to each criterion, Section 7 shall be deleted (not printed).

	<p>methods and technologies of the project are advanced, innovative and suitable for the achievement of project objectives.</p> <p>Aspects of the evaluation:</p> <p>1. The project would contribute to the development of research and the resolution of relevant scientific challenges, and is related to the research carried out by the host institution, and would complement it 0 to 10 points</p> <p>2. The project objectives are relevant, research accesses, methods and technologies are advanced, innovative, and suitable for the achievement of project objectives. 0 to 10 points.</p>				
<p>2. Quality of preparation of the project, its implementability, validity of the composition of the research group</p>	<p>This criterion will be used to assess the quality of preparation of the project (reasonability of the plan of research activities and their implementation deadlines, anticipation of project risks and their management plan), optimality and reasonability of the composition of the research group of the project (coherence of the research group and the planned research) possible contribution of the project to the development of competences of researchers and research fellows, appropriateness of research methods, tools, materials, technologies, etc. planned to be used; the necessity, sufficiency and reasonability of resources.</p> <p>Aspects of the evaluation:</p> <p>1. Reasonability of the plan of research activities and their implementation deadlines, anticipation of project risks and their management plan 0 to 5 points</p>	<p>25</p>		<p>15</p>	

	<p>2. Optimality and reasonability of the composition of the research group, its coherence with the planned research and its scope; participation of researchers of the host institution in the implementation of the project; project's contribution to the development of competences of researchers and research fellows 0 to 15 points</p> <p>3. Appropriateness of research methods, tools, materials, technologies, etc. planned to be used; the necessity of the planned resources and their appropriateness for the achievement of project objectives. 0 to 5 points</p>				
<p>3. Competence of the Head of Research</p>	<p>The criterion will be used to assess the scientific achievements of Head of Research in the international context, his/her experience in implementing and managing research, and the scientific competence to implement the project being submitted (to be evaluated on the basis of the documents submitted, such as the curriculum vitae and the list of his/ her publications).</p> <p>Aspects of the evaluation:</p> <p>1. Scientific achievements of Head of Research in the international context. 0 to 20 points</p> <p>2. Experience of Head of Research in implementing and managing the successfully completed international research projects. 0 to 10 points</p>	<p>35</p>		<p>25</p>	

	3. Experience in supervising successfully defended dissertations in the project research area and other experience in training researchers and research fellows. 0 to 5 points				
4. Relevance and usability of the planned research results, continuity of research	This criterion will be used to assess the relevance of the research results, their usability, and the continuity of research activities. Aspects of the evaluation: 1. Impact on further research development 0 to 5 points 2. Opportunities of use and prospects of continuity of the topic in the host institution 0 to 5 points 3. Adequacy and importance of dissemination of results (research publications, presentations at scientific conferences, patents and dissemination of other research activities) 0 to 10 points	20		10	
Sum:		100		60	
Minimum required score:		60			

(job position of the responsible person having evaluated the Application)

(date)

(name, surname, signature²)

² Please sign if completing a paper version of the Table