

Annex 2 to Guidelines No. for Applicants Under Measure 01.2.2.-LMT-K-718 Targeted Research in SMART Specialization Areas of Priority Axis 1 Promotion of Research, Development and Innovations of the Operational Programme for the European Union Funds' investments in 2014–2020

(Form of the Table of the Assessment of the Benefit and Quality of the Project)

TABLE OF THE ASSESSMENT OF THE QUALITY AND BENEFIT OF THE PROJECT

Application code	
Name of the Applicant	
Project title	
The project will be implemented: <input type="checkbox"/> with a partner (s) <input type="checkbox"/> without a partner (s)	
<input type="checkbox"/> PRIMARY <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED <i>(Please mark 'Revised' if the Table is revised when the application is re-assessed.)</i>	

Title of the priority project selection criterion (hereinafter criterion)	Aspects and explanations of the evaluation of the criterion	Maximum score	Score assigned in relation to the evaluation	Minimum required score	Commentaries
1. Perspectives of using the results of the research project	This criterion will assess whether and to what extent the results of the project are innovative, based on research and/or technology, implementable in a social or cultural environment, or on the market. Also - to what extent the results of the project will contribute to the development of the research area/field(s) and the development of the public or business or non-governmental sector, or to development of products and services based on social or cultural innovation.	<i>(Possible number of characters - 2 digits before the decimal point.)</i>	<i>(Possible number of characters - 2 digits before the decimal point.)</i>	<i>(Possible number of characters - 2 digits before the decimal point.)</i>	

	<p>Evaluated by points:</p> <p>1.1. Innovativeness and feasibility of the results, planned to be achieved during the project implementation period, in the social or cultural environment or in the market. 0 – 20 points</p> <p>1.2. Contribution of the results, planned to be achieved during the implementation of the project, to the development of the research area(s)/field(s) of science, and the development of the public or business or non-governmental sector, or development of products and services based on social or cultural innovations relevant to various societal groups. 0 – 20 points</p>	40		20	
<p>2. International scientific competence of the Head of Research</p>	<p>This criterion assesses the scientific achievements of the Head of Research in the last 10 years before the application deadline in the international context, the experience in conducting and managing the research and the capacity to implement the proposed project. The scientific competence of the Head of Research is assessed according to the curriculum vitae and the list of submitted scientific publications and other scientific products.</p> <p>The assessment shall be carried out taking into account the scientific competence and experience of the Head of Research in relation to the project submitted and the planned results of the project. (evaluated according to the documents submitted by the Head of Research: curriculum vitae, list of scientific publications, list of other research,</p>	20		10	

	<p>experimental development and innovation activities substantiating the Head of Research's experience).</p> <p>Evaluated by points:</p> <p>2.1. Research achievements of the Head of Research in an international context. 0 – 10 points.</p> <p>2.2. The experience of the Head of Research to carry out and lead research and the ability to implement the submitted project. 0 – 10 points.</p>				
<p>3. Quality of project preparation, feasibility of the project, validity of the composition of the research group</p>	<p>According to this criterion, the validity and feasibility of the project activity plan is assessed, as well as – the optimality of composition of the research group and the choice of the partner (if the project is implemented with a partner) and the connection of the project with the research and experimental (social, cultural) development activities carried out in the applicant's institution.</p> <p>Evaluated by points</p> <p>3.1. Validity and feasibility of the project activity plan. 0 - 15 points.</p> <p>3.2. Optimality of research team composition and partner selection. 0 - 10 points.</p> <p>3.3. Project coherence with the R&D activities carried out by the applicant institution. 0 – 10 points.</p>	<p>35</p>		<p>20</p>	

<p>4. Scope of the Applicant's involvement in the projects of international research programs, and applied research orders by economic entities fulfilled by the Applicant.</p>	<p>The criterion is used to assess the scope of the Applicant's engagement in projects of international research programs, and the R&D orders by economic entities implemented by the Applicant.</p> <p>The assessment shall be carried out in accordance with the latest data provided by the Research Council of Lithuania to the Ministry of Education and Science obtained during the evaluation of scientific (artistic) works of scientific and study institutions and scientific activities of university hospitals in accordance with the Regulation 'On the annual assessment of research and experimental development and the arts in universities and research institutes' approved by the Minister of Education and Science of the Republic of Lithuania on 4 October 2017 by Order No. V-747 (hereinafter -the Regulation on Assessment), and Guidelines for the evaluation of research and experimental development and artistic activities of Lithuanian research and higher education institutions approved by the Chairman of the Research Council of Lithuania on 15 June 2018 by Order No. V-307 'On the approval of the guidelines for research and experimental development and evaluation of artistic activities of Lithuanian research and study institutions' (hereinafter – the Guidelines for Assessment).</p> <p>The value of the individual Applicant's funds received from international research program projects and from R&D orders received from economic operators is determined from the submitted data of evaluation of scientific (artistic) works of institutions of science and studies during</p>	<p>5</p>		<p><i>(The number of characters available is 2 decimal places before decimal point and 1 decimal place after decimal point)</i></p> <p>0</p>	
--	---	----------	--	--	--

	<p>the assessment in accordance to the latest data provided by the Research Council of Lithuania. The scientific activities of university hospitals are evaluated in accordance with the same principles as in the evaluation of research and study institutions, in accordance with the Evaluation Regulation and the Evaluation Guidelines.</p> <p>The score given is calculated according to the formula: $A = X * 0,25$, where: A – Scope of the applicant's participation in projects of international research programs and R&D orders of economic entities executed by the applicant (rounded mathematically to tenths); X – the score given to the applicant for projects of international research programs and R&D orders from economic entities</p>				
Sum:	100	<i>(The sum of the numbers entered in the column, which may not exceed 100.)</i>	50		
The minimum required number of points:	50				

(job title of the person responsible
for the evaluation of the Application)

(date)

(name, surname, signature¹)

¹ Signed if a paper version of the table is being completed